

#2012-34

Bonick - 145 Regal Dr.

Project Review for Planning and Zoning Commission

Meeting Dates: May 16, 2012

Requests: Simplified Residential Variation from Article 3, Density and

Dimensional Standards, to allow a deck to encroach into the rear yard setback and be as close as 10 feet from the rear property line

instead of the required 16 feet

Location: 145 Regal Drive

Acreage: $\approx 11,199 \text{ SF } (0.26 \text{ acres})$

Existing Zoning: "R-2 PUD" Single-Family Residential PUD

Surrounding Properties: North: "R-2 PUD" Single-Family Residential PUD

South: "R-2 PUD" Single-Family Residential PUD "R-2 PUD" Single-Family Residential PUD West: "R-2 PUD" Single-Family Residential PUD

Staff Contact: Latika Bhide (815.356.3615)

Background:

- <u>Location:</u> 145 Regal Drive, west of Pingree Road
- Zoning: "R-2 PUD" Single-Family Residential
- Development: Ashton Pointe, approved in 2005
- Existing Improvements: Two-story residence with attached garage
- Request: Variation to allow a deck to encroach into the rear yard setback and be as close as 10 feet from the rear property line instead of the required 16 feet



Existing deck proposed to be expanded

Land Use Analysis:

- <u>Details:</u> The request is to allow expansion of the existing deck along the back of the residence.
- <u>Dimensional Standards:</u> For the "R-2" district, a minimum rear yard setback of 20 feet is required. Steps and decks attached to a principal building are permitted a 4 feet encroachment into a setback.
- Existing Conditions: There is an existing deck, 4 feet deep and 12 feet wide, along the back of the existing residence. The petitioner is requesting to enlarge the deck to be 10

- feet deep and 12 feet wide. There is a 10-foot PUE along the back of the property. The proposed deck will not encroach into the easement.
- <u>Hardship:</u> The petitioner has indicated that the hardship is related to the greater setbacks for the corner lot.

Findings of Fact:

UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT ORDINANCE VARIATION

The granting of a Variation rests upon the applicant proving practical difficulty or hardship caused by the Unified Development Ordinance requirements as they relate to the property. It is the responsibility of the petitioner to prove hardship at the Planning and Zoning Commission public hearing. Before recommending any Variation, the Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council shall first determine and record its findings that the evidence justifies the conclusions that:

1.	surroundin	the plight of the property owner is due to unique circumstances, such as, unusual arroundings or conditions of the property involved, or by reason of exceptional narrowness hallowness or shape of a zoning lot, or because of unique topography, or underground preditions					
		True		False			
2.	Also, that	the variation, if grante True	d, will not alter	the essential character of the locality. False			
		-		ktent to which the following facts favorable to ce presented at the public hearing:			
1.		onditions upon which to other property within True		for variation is based would not be applicable ing classification; False			
2.		That the alleged difficulty or hardship has not been created by any person presently having interest in the property;					
		True		False			
3.	_	_		crimental to the public welfare or injurious to hood in which the property is located; or False			
4.	That the proposed variation will not impair an adequate supply of light or air to adjacent property, will not unreasonably diminish or impair the property values of adjacent property, will not unreasonably increase congestion in the public streets, substantially increase the danger of fire or otherwise endanger public safety. True False						
Wł	nere the evi	dence is not found to	justify such cor	nditions, that fact shall be reported to the City			

Recommended Conditions:

Council with a recommendation that the Variation be denied.

If a motion is made to recommend approval of the petitioner's request, the following conditions are suggested:

- 1. Approved plans, reflecting staff and advisory board recommendations, as approved by the City Council:
 - A. Application, received 4-30-12
 - B. Site Plan /Plat of Survey, TFW Surveying, received 4-30-12
- 2. A variation from the required rear yard setback of 16 feet for a deck to allow 10 feet is hereby granted.
- 3. A grading plan consisting of existing and proposed grades is required. Existing grading and drainage patterns must be maintained.
- 4. The petitioner shall address all of the review comments and requirements of the Engineering and Building, Fire Rescue, Police, Public Works, and Planning and Economic Development Departments.

Application for Simplified Residential Variation

	ation Number:	FOR OFFICE USE ONLY			
	Name:				
te of	f Submission:	RECEIV			
.,,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	Applicant	APR 3 0 201			
		BY:			
<u>(5/</u>	ARY R BONICK 5 REGAL DR STAL LAKE IL State	(ded & c			
ame	Company of the compan				
14	S KegAL DIZ				
reet					
RY	STAL LAKE IL	<u>60014</u> Zip Code			
ty ´	State	Zip Code			
<u> 315</u>	-621-3375 8/5-893-6281 one Number Fax Number	WSOXFAN @ COMEAST			
eleph	one Number Fax Number	E-mail address			
	Owner of Property (it different)	en de la companya de La companya de la co			
ame					
ddres		Telephone Number			
ĺ.	Project Data				
	a. Location/Address: 145 Regal DR	CHUCHAI LAKE TI GOO			
	TO 200 BY SALES SALES STATE OF THE SALES S	etili ad te			
	b. PIN#: 19-04-226-033				
	Description of proposal/Reason for request (including how	v the standards for variation are met			
	any unique circumstance of the property, or particular har				
	DESCRIBE THE UNIQUE CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE P	# F			
	Due to SetBack Limitations The				
	4'x 12' AND Not Suitable for Anyth				
	Starreas & we would like to Extend outward to make Deck				
	Total 10' x12'. This would not encroach on the PUE.				
	IS THE HARDSHIP SELF-CREATED?	CHOCK DIS THE POOL			
	NO	,			
	100				

	CLASSIFICATION? I Do NOT Know of Any other Homes IN this						
	WILL THE VARIATION ALTER THE ESSENTIAL CHARACTER OF THE LOCALITY? NO. The Proposed larger Deck will Look Nearly IDENTICAL TO Those of The Surrounding Homes						
	·						
	WILL THE VARIATION, IF GRANTED BE DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC WELFARE OR INJURIOUS TO OTHER PROPERTY? NO WILL THE VARIATION AS PROPOSED IMPAIR ADEQUATE SUPPLY OF LIGHT OR AIR TO ADJACENT PROPERTY; DIMINISH PROPERTY VALUE; INCREASE CONGESTION IN PUBLIC STREETS; SUSBTANTIALLY INCREASE THE DANGER OF FIRE; OT ENDANGER						
	PUBLIC SAFETY?						
	Νο						
	List any previous variations that are approved for this property: NONE						
		, ************************************					
V.	Signatures						
ETI	TIONER: Print and Sign name (if different from owner)	Date					
s ov	vner of the property in question, I hereby authorize the seeking of	the above requested action.					
3AI	RY 2 BONICK Sary R Bonish	3/29/2012					
14/61	J	Date					
VVIN	ER: Print and Sign name	Date					

NOTE: If the property is held in trust, the trust officer must sign this petition as owner. In addition, the trust officer must provide a letter that names all beneficiaries of the trust.

PUBLIC NOTICE

BEFORE THE PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF CRYSTAL LAKE, MCHENRY COUNTY, ILLINOIS

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF GARY BONICK

LEGAL NOTICE

Notice is hereby given in compliance with the Unified Development Ordinance (UDO) of the City of Crystal Lake, Illinois, that a public hearing will be held before the Planning and Zoning Commission of the City of Crystal Lake upon the application of Gary Bonick for approval of variations relating to the following described real estate commonly known as 145 Regal Drive, Crystal Lake, Illinois 60014, PIN: 19-04-226-033.

This application is filed for the purposes of seeking variations from Article 3, Density and Dimensional Standards, to allow a deck to encroach into the rear yard setback and be as close as 10 feet from the rear property line instead of the required 16 feet; as well as any other variations that may be necessary to allow the plans as presented. Plans for this project can be viewed at the City of Crystal Lake Planning and Economic Development Department at City Hall.

A public hearing before the Planning and Zoning Commission on the request will be held at 7:30 p.m. on Wednesday, May 16, 2012, at the Crystol Lake City Half, 100 West Woodstack Street, at which time and place any person determining to be heard may be present.

Tom Hayden, Chairperson Planning and Zoning Commission City of Crystal Lake (Published in the Northwest Herald May 1, 2012)

BENCHMARK:
PER VILLAGE OF ALGONQUIN SURVEY
PROGRAM. MONUMENT 14 IS A CON
MONUMENT SET IN—BETWEEN VILLAGE
VILLAGE HALL SIGN AND CURB (STRE
ELEVATION = 895.006 NAD 83 DAT LOT 11 IN ASHTON POINTE, BEING A RESUBDIVISION OF LOTS 4
AND 5 IN CHURCH SUBDIVISION, BEING A SUBDIVISION OF PART OF
THE NORTHEAST 1/4 OF SECTION 4, TOWNSHIP 43 NORTH, RANGE
8, EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, ACCORDING TO THE
PLAT OF SAID ASHTON POINTE, RECORDED FEBRUARY 4, 2005, AS
DOCUMENT R20050009632, IN MCHENRY COUNTY, ILLINOIS. THO DE VED INC 2012 SURVEYING MAPPING 873 App Ž RPUE' CONCRETE CURB PECAL TRANSFORMER ັດ 7, \$55.7032.W 20' BSL N89'19'52"E 30.50 PUE -10, DRIVE MARTOLE */ 60 . ADDRESS: 145 REGAL =913.60 913.67 .86.55 CONCRETE WALL R 11191 MANHOLE 30,05 SCALE R=913.02 OPEN GRATE MANHOLE IN FEET tch = 20 GRAPHIC DRIVE NORTH 1 inch 3, 2,06.5. N É PEGAL \bigcirc