

#2013-33 175 and 179 Edgewater Drive – Variation Project Review for Planning and Zoning Commission

Meeting Date: July 17, 2013

Request: Variation from Article 4-700 Fences, Walls and Screening to allow

a 4-foot-high fence within the front yard setback.

Location: 175 and 179 Edgewater Drive

Acreage: 12,486 square feet and 7,756 square feet respectively

Existing Zoning: R-2 Single Family

Surrounding Properties: North: R-2 Single Family

South: R-2 Single Family
East: R-2 Single Family
West: R-2 Single Family

Staff Contact: Elizabeth Maxwell (815.356.3615)

Background:

• Existing Use: The properties are improved with single family homes. There is an existing 3-foot-high chain link fence along the northeast lot line of 175 Edgewater Drive running to the seawall.

• <u>Background</u>: Properties along Crystal Lake have the lake side portion of the lot as the front yard. The street side portion is treated like a corner side yard.

Development Analysis:

General

- Request: Variation to allow a 4-foot fence along the property lines to the seawall. This fence encroaches into the front yard setback. Fences within the front yard setback are permitted to be 3 feet in height.
- <u>Land Use</u>: The land use map shows the area as Urban Residential. This land use designation is appropriate for this use.
- <u>Zoning</u>: The site is zoned R-2 Single Family. These properties are used as single-family homes.

Site Layout

• The properties contain existing single-family homes. Both have attached garages with access from Edgewater.

- The front yard setback is determined by taking the average of the two closest dwellings. The front yard setback is illustrated in the exhibits below.
- The front yard setback for 175 Edgewater is 70.5 feet. This is measured from the seawall. It is illustrated by the box below on the lot. Within this "front yard" the fence shall be 3 feet in height. They are asking for a variation to allow the 4-foot-high fence.



The front yard is 70.5 feet from the seawall. The 4-foot fence requires a 70.5-foot variation.

• The front yard setback for 179 Edgewater is 86 feet. This is measured from the seawall. It is illustrated by the box below on the lot. Within this "front yard" the fence shall be 3 feet in height. They are asking for a variation to allow the 4-foot-high fence.



The front yard is 86 feet from the seawall. The 4-foot fence requires an 86-foot variation.

Comprehensive Land Use Plan 2020 Vision Summary Review:

The Comprehensive Plan designates the subject property as Urban Residential, which allows for existing and future single-family residential uses. The following goal is applicable to this request:

Land Use - Residential

Goal: Encourage a diversity of high quality housing in appropriate locations throughout the city that supports a variety of lifestyles and invigorates community character.

This can be accomplished with the following supporting action:

Supporting Action: Preserve and enhance the character and livability of existing residential area with architectural and development guidelines.

Findings of Fact:

ZONING ORDINANCE VARIATION

The petitioners are requesting a variation from Article 4-700 Fences, Walls and Screening to allow a 4-foot-high fence within the front yard setback. The Unified Development Ordinance lists specific standards for the review and approval of a variation. The granting of a variation rests upon the applicant proving practical difficulty or hardship caused by the Ordinance requirements as they relate to the property. To be considered a zoning hardship, the specific zoning requirements; setbacks, lot width and lot area must create a unique situation on this property. It is the responsibility of the petitioner to prove hardship at the Planning and Zoning Commission public hearing.

Standards

When evidence in a specific case shows conclusively that literal enforcement of any provision of this Ordinance would result in a practical difficulty or particular hardship because:

a.	The plight of the property owner is due to unique circumstances, such as, unusual surroundings or conditions of the property involved, or by reason of exceptional narrowness, shallowness or shape of a zoning lot, or because of unique topography, or underground conditions.
	☐ Meets ☐ Does not meet
b.	Also, that the variation, if granted, will not alter the essential character of the locality.
	☐ Meets ☐ Does not meet
considera establish	purposes of supplementing the above standards, the Commission may take into ation the extent to which the following facts favorable to the application have been ed by the evidence presented at the public hearing: That the conditions upon which the application for variation is based would not be applicable generally to other property within the same zoning classification; \[\begin{array}{c} Meets Does not meet \]

b.	That the alleged diffi having interest in the	culty or hardship has not been created by any person presently property;
	☐ Meets	Does not meet
c.	0 0	the variation will not be detrimental to the public welfare or perty or improvements in the neighborhood in which the property
	☐ Meets	Does not meet
d.	adjacent property, wi	ariation will not impair an adequate supply of light or air to all not unreasonably diminish or impair the property values of all not unreasonably increase congestion in the public streets, the danger of fire or otherwise endanger public safety.

Where the evidence is not found to justify such conditions, that fact shall be reported to the City Council with a recommendation that the variation be denied.

Recommended Conditions:

If a motion to recommend approval of the petitioner's request is made, it should be with the following conditions:

- 1. Approved plans, reflecting staff and advisory board recommendations, as approved by the City Council:
 - A. Application (Rumford & Buelow, received 06/21/13)
 - B. Plat of Survey 175 Edgewater (Luco Construction, dated 01/05/07, received 06/21/13)
 - C. Plat of Survey 179 Edgewater (Luco Construction, dated 10/02/12, received 06/21/13)
 - D. Wrought Iron fence details
- 2. The fence must remain open/see-through and not a solid fence. Any landscape materials in the front yard near the fence must remain 3 feet or less in height.
- 3. No fill is permitted in or around the fence. Any spoils from the fence posts must be removed from the property.
- 4. The petitioner shall address all of the review comments and requirements of the Engineering and Building and Planning and Economic Development Departments.



2013 33 RECEIVED JUN 21 2013

Application for Simplified Residential Variation

77, 182,53	
	cation Number: FOR OFFICE USE ONLY
95.693.5	ct Name:
Date	of Submission:
<u> 0.7489</u>	
I.	Applicant
Mich	ael Rumford & Robert Buelow
Name	3
175	Edgewater Drive & 179 Edgewater Drive
Stree	
Crve	tal Lake, IL 60014
City	State Zip Code
•	
	707-1756 & 630-514-5319 michael_rumford@mastercard.com & bob@abbey-paving.com
reich	HONE HUMBEL FAMILIES
II.	Owner of Property (if different)
Name	
Addre	ess Telephone Number
III.	Project Data
1.	a. Location/Address: 175 Edgewater Drive & 179 Edgewater Drive
	b. PIN #: 18-01-154-009 & 18-01-154-010
2.	Description of proposal/Reason for request (including how the standards for variation are met,
	any unique circumstance of the property, or particular hardship):
	DESCRIBE THE UNIQUE CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE PROPERTY:
	Both 175 & 179 Edgewater Drive are lakefront properties and are subject to point B.3.b. in Section 4-700 FENCES, WALLS
	AND SCREENING - For properties along Crystal Lake, the height of a fence, wall or screening in the rear yard is
	limited to 3 feet. This rule does not apply to non-lakefront homes. We are requesting a variance to install a 48" tall
	ornamental aluminum fence. A picture showing the type of fence we would install has been attached to this document.
	IS THE HARDSHIP SELF-CREATED?
	The hardship is not self-created. The variance is requested for the following reasons: 1 Safety 36 inch fence is insufficient to keep children & dogs in yard
	given vehicle speed on Edgewater, existing fence on N side of 175 Edgewater is a hazard due to improper installation and taller fence would be
	more of a deterrent to keep uninvited guests off of trampoline; 2. Value: Create an aesthelically appealing, consistent fence across both properties.

	ARE THE CONDITIONS APPLICABLE TO OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE SAME ZONING		
	CLASSIFICATION?		
	Yes, the neighboring properties to the north and south have the same conditions. Additionally,		
	neighbors to the adjacent properties have pledged their support for the variance		
	WILL THE VARIATION ALTER THE ESSENTIAL CHARACTER OF THE LOCALITY?		
	The variation will enhance the essential character of the locality. The variance request will allow		
	the ability to replace the current existing chain link fence on the north side of 175 Edgewater		
	Drive which is currently a hazard due to improper installation from the previous owners resulting in		
	dangerous, sharp points along the top of the fence).		
	WILL THE VARIATION, IF GRANTED BE DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC WELFARE OR		
	INJURIOUS TO OTHER PROPERTY?		
	No, granting the variance will not be detrimental to public welfare or injurious to the other		
	properties. In fact, as stated above, granting the variance would allow the removal of an existing hazard		
	(sharp fence) and assist in improving the look of the properties.		
	WILL THE VARIATION AS PROPOSED IMPAIR ADEQUATE SUPPLY OF LIGHT OR AIR TO		
	ADJACENT PROPERTY: DIMINISH PROPERTY VALUE; INCREASE CONGESTION IN		
	PUBLIC STREETS: SUSBTANTIALLY INCREASE THE DANGER OF FIRE; OT ENDANGER		
	PUBLIC SAFETY?		
	No, the variance will not negatively impact the adjacent neighbors. Rather, it will enhance their properties as the desired fencing		
	would be consistent across two properties. The variance is for the rear yards and will not impact congestion in public		
	streets or endanger public safety. There will be no impact to the risk of fire as the fencing would be aluminum.		
3.	List any previous variations that are approved for this property:		
IV.	Signatures		
PETIT	TIONER: Print and Sign name (if different from owner) Date		
As ow	s owner of the property in question, I hereby authorize the seeking of the above requested action.		
OWNE	WNER: Print and Sign name Date		

NOTE: If the property is held in trust, the trust officer must sign this petition as owner. In addition, the trust officer must provide a letter that names all beneficiaries of the trust.

6

PUBLIC NOTICE

BEFORE THE PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF CRYSTAL LAKE, MCHENRY COUNTY, ILLINOIS

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF Michael Rumford and Robert Buelow

LEGAL NOTICE

Notice is hereby given in compliance with the Unified Development Ordinance (UDO) at the City of Crystal Lake, Illinois, that a public hearing will be held before the Planning and Zoning Commission upon the application of Michael Rumford and Robert Buelow for approval of a variation relating to the following described real estate commonly known as 175 Edgewater Drive and 179 Edgewater Drive, Crystal Lake, Illinois 60014, PINs: 18-01-154-009, 18-01-154-010.

This application is filed for the purposes of seeking a variation from Article 4 Section 4-700 Fences, Walls and Screening to allow a 4-tool-high wrought iron fence in the front yard a variation of 1 foot and as well as any other variations that may be necessary to allow the plans as presented. Plans for this project can be viewed at the City of Crystal Lake Planning and Economic Development Department of City Hall.

A public hearing before the Planning and Zoning Commission on the request will be held at 7:30 p.m. on Wednesday, July 17, 2013, at the Crystal Lake City Hall, 100 West Woodstock Street, at which time and place any person determining to be heard may be present.

Tom Hayden, Chairperson Planning and Zoning Commission City of Crystal Lake

(Published in the Northwest Herald June 29, 2013, #A1373)

EIVED 21 2013











