

# **City of Crystal Lake**

# Memorandum

**To:** Planning and Zoning Commission

From: Elizabeth Maxwell

Date: September 18, 2013

**Re**: 2013-34 Signature Auto

The petitioner received a unanimous denial from the Planning and Zoning Commission at the July 17<sup>th</sup> meeting. The item was referred back from City Council with the requirement to change the request. The petitioner has provided us with a revised plan. This memo explains the proposed changes.

## **New Request:**

Special Use Permit and Use Variation Amendment for an "Automobile Dealer" and "Other Motor Vehicle Dealer" in the M Manufacturing zoning district.

This would also include paving two 10 x 20 asphalt pads along Pyott Road on either side of the free-standing sign for vehicle display.

# **Development Analysis:**

- Request: The current request is to amend the previous approval to allow additional vehicle types for sale. Box trucks, fire engines, ambulances, bucket trucks and other similar vehicles fall under the City's definition of Other Motor Vehicle Sales. This requires the amendment to the previous approval. Also as part of the previous approval an ancillary repair shop was approved. The repair shop will now be a separate business open to the public. In addition, two additional display pads for vehicles will be placed along Pyott Road adjacent to the free-standing sign.
- <u>Landscape Plan</u>: The petitioner has provided a revised landscape plan showing arborvitae for screening. It shall also be noted that the landscape plan illustrates a 25 foot crushed asphalt/gravel area, this was only approved up to 20 feet and that is what the petitioner will install, there is no request to extend this area.

#### Parking

- o There are seven existing parking spaces on site.
- o One new accessible space is required, which will be added along this parking row.
- O Parking for auto dealers is required at 1/5,000 SF of outdoor display area + 2 per service bay + 1 per employee. The petitioner shall provide the necessary information for staff to calculate the parking requirements.

# **New Recommended Conditions:**

If a motion to recommend approval of the petitioner's request is made, it should be with the following conditions:

- 1. Approved plans, reflecting staff and advisory board recommendations, as approved by the City Council:
  - A. Application (Signature Auto Group, Inc., received 06/24/13)
  - B. Landscape Plan (Choice City Gardeners, dated 08/30/13, received 09/09/13)
  - C. Property Owner Acknowledgments
- 2. Ordinance No. 6928, including all approval conditions as applicable, remains valid.
- 3. No vehicles shall be parked in the drive-aisle which is the driveway area extending 25 feet beyond the end of the building as illustrated on Exhibit 2 attached to this memo.
- 4. Staggered evergreens 6-8 feet in height at the time of planting shall be planted adjacent to the north property line for 250 feet to screen the vehicles from the property to the north. All landscape screening areas including the area adjacent to the residences and the north property line shall be planted by November 1, 2013. See Exhibit 2 for landscape screening locations.
- 5. Landscape screening is required adjacent to any customer parking areas, this includes end landscape islands, screening adjacent to roadways or other properties, islands every 10 spaces, etc. per Section 4-400 of the UDO. Landscape screening shall be added to the east of the customer parking area where the grass area exists now.
- 6. Any tree removal is subject to the City's Tree Preservation Ordinance Article 4-300.

# 7. Signage:

- a. The free-standing sign shall not exceed 8 feet in height and 80 square feet in size.
- b. The free-standing sign is required to have 1 square foot of landscape materials around the base of the sign for each square foot of signage. The landscape materials shall consist of at least 50% large shrubs and trees.
- c. The wall signage shall not exceed 75 square feet per tenant space and shall not exceed 50 square feet per building façade or 50 square feet per individual sign.
- 8. The required customer parking shall be provided. Provide a customer parking plan for staff review and approval.
- 9. The petitioner shall address all of the review comments and requirements of the Engineering and Building and Planning and Economic Development Departments.



# #2013-34 970 Pyott Road – SUP & Use Variation Amendment and Variations Project Review for Planning and Zoning Commission

Meeting Date: July 17, 2013

**Requests:** 

- 1. Special Use Permit and Use Variation Amendment for an "Automobile Dealer" and "Other Motor Vehicle Dealer" in the M Manufacturing zoning district.
- 2. Special Use Permit for certain temporary uses which may include grand opening, cruise nights and charitable events.
- 3. Variation from Article 4-200 C 3 Off-Street Parking and Loading and City Code Section 570 Parking Lot, Driveway and Sidewalk Construction to allow a crushed asphalt/gravel parking and storage area for vehicles that is 50 feet wide and parking on the grass for special events.
- 4. Variation from Article 4-200 Off-Street Parking and Loading from the requirement to provide curbing around parking and landscape areas.

**Location:** 970 Pyott Road

**Acreage:** 5.75 acres

**Existing Zoning:** M Manufacturing

**Surrounding Properties:** North: M Manufacturing

South: M-1 Lake in the Hills East: M Manufacturing

West: R-2 Single Family Residential


**Staff Contact**: Elizabeth Maxwell (815.356.3615)

## **Background:**

- <u>Previous Approvals</u>: Signature Auto received their Use Variation for "Automobile Sales" on May 7, 2013.
- Existing Use: Currently the site contains automobiles and other vehicles for sale, as well as, a larger planned display area.
- Request: The current request is to amend the previous approval to allow additional vehicle types for sale, a larger display area, the auto repair shop to be open to the public and variations from the curbing requirements.

## **Development Analysis:**

General - Illustrative example of site plan



#### • Requests:

- Special Use Permit and Use Variation Amendment. A Use Variation was originally granted to allow an automobile dealer; a use not permitted in the manufacturing zoning district. The use has been expanded to allow other motor vehicle sales, which include box trucks, fire trucks, ambulances, bucket trucks, dump trucks, buses, vans and other specialty vehicles. In addition, the vehicle display area is being expanded to include a portion adjacent to the building and a potential display area in front of the building along Pyott Road.
- O Special Use Permit to allow certain temporary uses. The petitioner would like to have several temporary uses throughout the year, which include car shows, a grand opening event and other charitable events.
- O Variation from the parking requirements to allow the vehicles to be parked/displayed/stored on a non-approved surface, which is comprised of crushed asphalt/gravel. This was part of the original request, but only for a 20-foot wide area. The petitioner is requesting to extend this to 50 feet in width.
- O Variation from the parking requirements to allow the parking on the grass area for special events.
- O Variation from the requirement to curb parking areas and landscape areas. This would be for the landscape area along the building; around the perimeter of the customer parking area; and the vehicle display area.

- <u>Land Use</u>: The land use map shows the area as Manufacturing. This land use designation can be considered appropriate for the automobile dealership, as well as, other motor vehicle sales since it will primarily be outside storage of vehicles and include minor vehicle repair, both of which are permitted in the M Manufacturing district.
- Zoning: The site is zoned M Manufacturing. The use variation for automobile dealer was approved previously. Other motor vehicle sales is not a permitted use, but the ancillary uses of outside storage and minor automobile repair are permitted in that zoning district. The petitioner is requesting the Use Variation for the automobile sales.

## Site Layout

- The site has one full access point off Pyott Road, which leads into the vehicle display area.
- Customer parking is provided up front, adjacent to the main drive aisle.
- The outside vehicle storage/sales lots are located behind the building, adjacent to the building next to the customer parking area and up front along Pyott Road.
- Photos were taken of the site on July 25<sup>th</sup> and are attached to this staff report.

# <u>Parking</u>

- There are seven existing parking spaces on site.
- One new accessible space is required, which will be added along this parking row.
- Parking for auto dealers is required at 1/5,000 SF of outdoor display area + 2 per service bay + 1 per employee. The proposed vehicle display area is approximately 58,090 square feet. There are estimated to be 3 employees on site. This use is required to provide 17 parking spaces.

# Comprehensive Land Use Plan 2020 Vision Summary Review:

The Comprehensive Plan designates the subject property as Industry, which allows for existing and future manufacturing uses. The following goal is applicable to this request:

#### Land Use - Industry

Goal: Support manufacturing uses within the community which contribute to the regional and local economy and Crystal Lake's live, work play philosophy.

This can be accomplished with the following supporting action:

**Supporting Action:** Encourage the redevelopment of "brownfield" sites, underused or abandoned manufacturing properties in the City, and reuse already existing structures or draw upon existing infrastructure.

## **Findings of fact:**

## SPECIAL USE PERMIT and USE VARIATION AMENDMENT

A Use Variation was approved to allow an automobile dealer in the M zoning district. The petitioner is also requesting to sell trucks (box, bucket, fire, etc.), RVs, boats, buses, and any other vehicle allowed under the State of Illinois license. These vehicles are classified as other motor vehicles. These uses have specific criteria that, if not met, triggers the need for a Special Use Permit. Since the Use Variation is the overriding approval, other motor vehicle dealer is not a permitted use. This request requires that they obtain a Special Use Permit. Special Uses require a

separate review because of their potential to impact surrounding properties and the orderly development of the City. Section 2-400 of the Unified Development Ordinance establishes standard for all Special Uses in Crystal Lake. The criteria are as follows:

| 1. | That the proposed use is necessary or desirable, at the location involved, to provide a service or facility which will further the public convenience and contribute to the general welfare of the neighborhood or community.  |
|----|--|
|    | ☐ Meets ☐ Does not meet  |
| 2. | That the proposed use will not be detrimental to the value of other properties or improvements in the vicinity.  |
|    | ☐ Meets ☐ Does not meet  |
| 3. | That the proposed use will comply with the regulations of the zoning district in which it is located and this Ordinance generally, including, but not limited to, all applicable yard and bulk regulations, parking and loading regulations, sign control regulations, watershed, wetlands, and flood plain regulations, Building and Fire Codes and all other applicable City Ordinances.   |
|    | ☐ Meets ☐ Does not meet  |
| 4. | That the proposed use will not negatively impact the existing off-site traffic circulation; will adequately address on-site traffic circulation; will provide adequate on-site parking facilities; and, if required, will contribute financially, in proportion to its impact, to upgrading roadway and parking systems.   |
|    | ☐ Meets ☐ Does not meet  |
| 5. | That the proposed use will not negatively impact existing public utilities and municipal service delivery systems and, if required, will contribute financially, in proportion to its impact, to the upgrading of public utility systems and municipal service delivery systems.   |
|    | ☐ Meets ☐ Does not meet  |
| 6. | That the proposed use will not impact negatively on the environment by creating air, noise, or water pollution; ground contamination; or unsightly views.  |
|    | ☐ Meets ☐ Does not meet  |
| 7. | That the proposed use will maintain, where possible, existing mature vegetation; provide adequate screening to residential properties; provide landscaping in forms of ground covers, trees and shrubs; and provide architecture, which is aesthetically appealing, compatible or complementary to surrounding properties and acceptable by community standards, as further detailed in Article 4, Development and Design Standards. |
|    | ☐ Meets ☐ Does not meet  |

| 8.      | 8. That the proposed use will meet standards and requirements established by jurisdiction other than the City such as Federal, State or County statutes requiring licensing procedure or health/safety inspections, and submit written evidence thereof. |   |  |  |  |
|---------|--|---|--|--|--|
|         | Meets  | Does not meet   |  |  |  |
| 9.      | That the proposed us<br>Special Use Permit is  | e shall conform to any stipulations or conditions approved as part of a ssued for such use.   |  |  |  |
|         | ☐ Meets  | Does not meet   |  |  |  |
| 10      | . That the proposed us as provided in this se  | se shall conform to the standards established for specific special uses ection.   |  |  |  |
|         | Meets  | Does not meet   |  |  |  |
| More    | specifically, Automob  | ile Dealers are required to meet the following standards.   |  |  |  |
| or othe | er similar items, for sattems is permitted with  | imited to, automobiles, boats, lawn equipment, all terrain vehicles, le or lease are not permitted to be stored on lots unless the sale of in the district the lot is located in and a current certificate of as been obtained from the City. |  |  |  |
| Auton   | nobile sales and passer  | nger car rental & leasing must comply with the following standards:   |  |  |  |
| 1.      | surface shall mean as  | hicle display areas must be paved with an approved surface (Approved sphalt/bituminous, concrete/P.C.C. and any surface that is approved by Display areas shall be setback a minimum of 5 feet from all adjacent feet from roadways.          |  |  |  |
|         | ☐ Meets  | Does not meet   |  |  |  |
| 2.      | 0 0  | g shall be designed and installed to prevent glare or excessive light<br>ent properties, in accordance with the requirements of Article 4-800,<br>andards.  |  |  |  |
|         | ☐ Meets  | Does not meet   |  |  |  |
| 3.      | maximum decibel lev  | ems: If outdoor speaker or public address systems are installed, the vel at the property line shall not exceed 55 where adjoining residential re adjoining non-residential property.  |  |  |  |
|         | ☐ Meets  | Does not meet   |  |  |  |
| 4.      |  | bays shall not front adjacent major arterial or collector right-of-ways hat is residential property.  |  |  |  |
|         | Meets  | Does not meet   |  |  |  |
|         |  |   |  |  |  |

| 5.  | Parking lot landscap   | caping is not required within or surrounding vehicle display areas. ing in accordance with Article 4-400, Landscaping and Screening ovided for employee and customer parking areas. |  |
|---|--|---|--|
|   | ☐ Meets  | Does not meet   |  |
| 6.  |  | or automobile sales uses, up to 2 new vehicles are permitted on an h a maximum height of the structure display being no more than 5   |  |
|   | Meets  | Does not meet   |  |
| 7.  | Accessory uses: Accessory uses and structures, such as car wash facilities and their incidental functions (vacuums and air compressors) shall be set back a minimum distance of 50 feet from all rear and side property lines abutting residential property. These facilities shall not be open to the public, unless as Special Use Permit is obtained.                                 |   |  |
|   | ☐ Meets  | Does not meet   |  |
| 8.  | Parking on right-of-vof-way.   | vay: No vehicles offered for sale shall be parked on the public right-  |  |
|   | ☐ Meets  | Does not meet   |  |
| In add  | ition, Other Motor Vel   | nicle Dealers are required to meet the following standards.   |  |
|   | Motor Vehicle sales ar lowing standards:   | nd Truck, utility trailer and RV rental and leasing must comply with  |  |
| 1.  | 1. Display areas: All vehicle display areas must be paved using an approved surface shall mean asphalt/bituminous, concrete/P.C.C. and any surface tha approved by the City Engineer). The City Engineer may administratively approve the of crushed asphalt as an approved surface for the display areas. All display areas shall setback a minimum of 20 feet from all property lines. |   |  |
|   | ☐ Meets  | Does not meet   |  |
| 2.  | <ol> <li>Lighting: All lighting shall be designed and installed to prevent glare or excessive<br/>spillover onto adjacent properties, in accordance with the requirements of Article 4<br/>Exterior Lighting Standards.</li> </ol>   |   |  |
|   | ☐ Meets  | Does not meet   |  |
| 3. Repair bays: Repair bays shall not front adjacent major or minor arterial o of-ways or residential property. |  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   |  |
|   | ☐ Meets  | Does not meet   |  |
| 4.  | Parking lot landscap   | caping is not required within or surrounding vehicle display areas. ing in accordance with Article 4-400, Landscaping and Screening ovided for employee and customer parking areas. |  |
|   | Meets  | Does not meet   |  |

| 5. Accessory uses: Accessory uses and structures, such as vehicle wash facilitie incidental functions (vacuums and air compressors) shall be set back a minimu of 50 feet from all rear and side property lines abutting residential property. |   |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|
|  | Meets   | Does not meet  |  |  |
| 6.   | Parking on right-of-way.  | of-way: No vehicles offered for sale shall be parked on the public right   |  |  |
|  | Meets   | Does not meet  |  |  |
| The per<br>City C<br>asphalt   | ode Section 570 F<br>d/gravel parking an                                    | VARIATIONS  ng a variation from Article 4-200 Off-Street Parking and Loading and Parking Lot, Driveway and Sidewalk Construction to allow a crushed d storage area for vehicles, the parking on grass for temporary events quirement along customer parking, landscape areas or along the display                            |  |  |
| variation hardsh a zonin a uniqu   | on. The granting ip caused by the Ong hardship, the spous situation on this | of a variation rests upon the applicant proving practical difficulty or rdinance requirements as they relate to the property. To be considered ecific zoning requirements; setbacks, lot width and lot area must create property. It is the responsibility of the petitioner to prove hardship at Commission public hearing. |  |  |
|  | evidence in a speci   | fic case shows conclusively that literal enforcement of any provision of alt in a practical difficulty or particular hardship because:   |  |  |
| a  | surroundings or   | e property owner is due to unique circumstances, such as, unusual conditions of the property involved, or by reason of exceptional llowness or shape of a zoning lot, or because of unique topography, or aditions.  |  |  |
|  | Meets   | ☐ Does not meet  |  |  |
| b  | . Also, that the va   | riation, if granted, will not alter the essential character of the locality.   |  |  |
|  | Meets   | ☐ Does not meet  |  |  |
| consid   | eration the extent  | pplementing the above standards, the Commission may take into to which the following facts favorable to the application have been be presented at the public hearing:  |  |  |
| a  |   | ions upon which the application for variation is based would not be ally to other property within the same zoning classification;  |  |  |
|  | ☐ Meets   | Does not meet  |  |  |

| b. | That the alleged difficulty or hardship has not been created by any person presently having interest in the property;   |
|----|---|
|    | ☐ Meets ☐ Does not meet   |
| c. | That the granting of the variation will not be detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to other property or improvements in the neighborhood in which the property is located; or  |
|    | ☐ Meets ☐ Does not meet   |
| d. | That the proposed variation will not impair an adequate supply of light or air to adjacent property, will not unreasonably diminish or impair the property values of adjacent property, will not unreasonably increase congestion in the public streets, substantially increase the danger of fire or otherwise endanger public safety. |
|    | ☐ Meets ☐ Does not meet   |

Where the evidence is not found to justify such conditions, that fact shall be reported to the City Council with a recommendation that the variation be denied.

# **Recommended Conditions:**

If a motion to recommend approval of the petitioner's request is made, it should be with the following conditions:

- 1. Approved plans, reflecting staff and advisory board recommendations, as approved by the City Council:
  - A. Application (Signature Auto Group, Inc., received 06/24/13)
  - B. Site Plan (Signature Auto, received 07/09/13)
  - C. Signage (Signature Auto, received 07/09/13)
- 2. Ordinance No. 6928, including all approval conditions, remains valid.
- 3. No vehicles shall be parked in the drive-aisle which is the driveway area extending 25 feet beyond the end of the building as illustrated on Exhibit 2 attached to this report.
- 4. Staggered evergreens 6-8 feet in height at the time of planting shall be planted adjacent to the north property line for 250 feet to screen the vehicles from the property to the north. The landscape screening areas area adjacent to the residences and the north property line shall be planted by November 1, 2013. See Exhibit 2 for landscape screening locations.
- 5. Landscape screening is required adjacent to any customer parking areas, this includes end landscape islands, screening adjacent to roadways or other properties, islands every 10 spaces, etc. per Section 4-400 of the UDO. Landscape screening shall be added to the east of the customer parking area where the grass area exists now.
- 6. Any tree removal is subject to the City's Tree Preservation Ordinance Article 4-300.

# 7. Signage:

- a. The free-standing sign shall not exceed 8 feet in height and 80 square feet in size.
- b. The free-standing sign is required to have 1 square foot of landscape materials around the base of the sign for each square foot of signage. The landscape materials shall consist of at least 50% large shrubs and trees.
- c. The wall signage shall not exceed 75 square feet per tenant space and shall not exceed 50 square feet per building façade or 50 square feet per individual sign.
- 8. The required customer parking shall be provided. Provide a customer parking plan for staff review and approval.
- 9. The petitioner shall address all of the review comments and requirements of the Engineering and Building and Planning and Economic Development Departments.



# City of Crystal Lake Development Application

| Office Us | se Only                   |  |           |
|-----------|---------------------------|--|-----------|
| File#     | ************************* | ······································ | hhousing, |

| Project Title: 970 Pyoth.            | :<br>:                           |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Action Requested                     | RECEIVEL JUL 09 2013             |
| Aunexation                           | Preliminary PUD BY:              |
| Comprehensive Plan Amendment         | Preliminary Plat of Subdivision  |
| Conceptual PUD Review                | Rezoning                         |
| Final PUD                            | Special Use Permit               |
| Final PUD Amendment                  | ✓ Variation                      |
| Final Plat of Subdivision            | Other                            |
| Petitioner Information               | Owner Information (if different) |
| Name: Signature Auto group Inc       | Name: Eugene Feely               |
| Address: 970 Pyott Rd                | Address: 970 pyott Rd            |
|                                      | crystal lake IL Leony            |
| Phone: <u>841-208-3900</u>           | Phone: 541-208-3960              |
| Fax: 866-628-1939                    | Fax: Su6-628-1939                |
| E-mail: Brent on feuning kan @ gmail | E-mail:                          |
| Property Information                 |                                  |
| Project Description: See Attached    | ·<br>•                           |
| SE ATTOCHEA                          |                                  |
|                                      |                                  |
|                                      |                                  |
| Project Address/Location: 970 Pyott  | Rd Crystal lake 1L 60014         |
|                                      |                                  |
| PIN Number(s): 19-08-479-021         |                                  |

| Development Team   | ease include address, phone, fax and e-mail |
|--|---|
| Developer:   |   |
| Architect:   |   |
| Attorney:  |   |
| Engineer:  |   |
| Landscape Architect:   |   |
| Planner:   |   |
| Surveyor:  |   |
| Other: Brent Pennington  |   |
| Signatures  Signatures  Signature  Signature  Signature  Signature  Signatures  Compiler  Compil | 6-21-13                                     |
| PETITIONER: Print and Sign name (if different from on  |   |
| As owner of the property in question, I hereby authorize   | the seeking of the above requested action.  |
| OWNER: Print and Sign name   | Date  |

NOTE: If the property is held in trust, the trust officer must sign this petition as owner. In addition, the trust officer must provide a letter that names all beneficiaries of the trust.

# **Project Description**

The variation request is divided into individual requests.

- A) Clarification and or modification of Used Car Dealership Approval
- B) Clarification of Display area and customer parking area. Request for Parking lot and display area extension ( request for no Curbs )
- C) Request for asphalt display areas in front of building to park cars on that are for sale.
- D) Additional Parking lot Front Display Area Plans
- E) Request for use of back 2.5 Acers of property (grass area) for events including Grand opening, Cruise Night and Charitable Events.
- F) Signage

# **PUBLIC NOTICE**

BEFORE THE PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF CRYSTAL LAKE, MCHENRY COUNTY, ILLINOIS

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF Pelition Number 2013-34 Brent Pennington representing Signature Auto Group

#### LEGAL NOTICE

Notice is hereby given in campliance with the Unified Development Ordinance of the City of Crystal Lake, Illinois that a public hearing will be held before the Planning and Zoning Commission upon the application by Brent Pennington representing Signature Auto Group on behalf of Eugene Feely, the property owner, relating to the following described real estate commonly known as 970 Pyatt Rood, Crystol Lake, Illinois 60014, PIN: 19-08-479-021.

This application is filled for the purposes of seeking a Special Use Permit and Use Variation Amendment to allow an "Automobile Dealer" and "Other Motar Vehicle Dealer" with related outside sales and display pursuant to Article 2, Land Uses Sections 2-300 and 2-400, and the allowance of two paved display pads in the yard abutting the street, as well as any other variations as necessary to approve the project as presented. The application and plans can be found at the City of Crystol Lake Community Development Department at City Hall.

A public hearing befare the Planning and Zoning Commission on the request will be held at 7:30 p.m. an Wednesday September 4, 2013 at the Crystal Lake City Hall, 100 West Woodstock Street, at which time and place any person determining to be heard may be present.

Tam Hayden, Chairperson Planning and Zaning Cammission City of Crystal Lake

Prepared by Joseph Gollemoller Madsen Sugden & Gollemoller 1 N. Virginia Street Crystol Lake, II 60014 815 459 5152

(Published in the Northwest Herald August 19, 2013, #A1681)



# CRYSTAL LAKE PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION SPECIAL MEETING WEDNESDAY, APRIL 24, 2013 HELD AT THE CRYSTAL LAKE CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS

The meeting was called to order by Chairman Hayden at 7:30 p.m. On roll call, members Batastini, Esposito, Gavle, Goss, Greenman, Jouron, Lembke, Skluzacek, and Hayden were present.

Elizabeth Maxwell, Planner, and Rick Paulson, Building Commissioner, were present from Staff.

Mr. Hayden asked those in attendance to rise to say the Pledge of Allegiance. He led those in attendance in the Pledge.

Mr. Hayden stated that this meeting was being televised now as well as recorded for future playback on the City's cable station.

# 2013-19 SIGNATURE AUTO GROUP - 970 Pyott Road - PUBLIC HEARING

Use Variation and Variation for parking lot construction to allow a gravel/crushed asphalt parking and storage area for vehicles.

Mr. Hayden stated that the sign had been posted. He said the surrounding property owners were notified and the Certificate of Publication was in the file. Mr. Hayden waived the reading of the legal notice without objection.

Brent Pennington with Signature Auto Group and Jennifer Wagner were present to represent the petition. Mr. Pennington said they are currently located at 1095 Pingree and want to expand their business. He handed out additional information for the request. Mr. Hayden asked if there are changes to what they had received. Mr. Pennington said no that it was only to help understand their business.

Mr. Pennington reviewed the conditions listed in the staff report. He said they usually purchase cars in good condition and they will be parked in the rear away from the well. There is space in front of the building that would allow them to have more parking spaces. They do not have a problem with the 5 foot landscaping area around the building. Mr. Pennington said he needs clarification regarding the landscape island requirements for parking lots. Is a landscape island needed if there are 10 parking spaces and none is required with 9? Mr. Pennington questioned the need for a berm, as he said they did not expect to do that. JA Frate, next to this property, has an extensive berm. Mr. Pennington added that they do not have a budget for a berm. They will not be parking cars right up to the property line so he doesn't see the need. He said they will not be parking cars on the grassy area and the gravel/crushed asphalt they are suggesting won't be dusty. They will pave the area in two years and that will give the area time to settle. He said the signs will meet the ordinance.

Ms. Wagner said they have been in their current location for 1 ½ years. With them moving, the business will increase. She is concerned with the berm requirement and the cost of it. She had read several years ago that the City had programs to help businesses. Mr. Hayden said that is not in their purview.

Inga Neuner, 947 Cardiff Drive, said she lives directly behind the property and is happy that the vacant building will be used again. Ms. Neuner said they do need a berm between the properties to help with the noise from the business. The noise coming into their back yard will affect their quality of life. She asked if there will be lighting in the rear. Mr. Pennington said there will not be lights in the rear. Ms. Neuner said she is happy to hear if there is a gravel/crushed asphalt area that it will not be dusty.

Lynett Aul, 955 Cardiff Drive, said the berm will help screen the car storage area.

There was no one else in the public who wished to comment on this petition. The public portion was closed at this time.

Mr. Batastini said he can support the variation to use crushed asphalt as well as the variation for the auto dealership. He appreciates the business owner wanting to stay in Crystal Lake. Mr. Batastini said the City is very generous with giving the petitioner 1 ½ years to put in a berm. He believes that it should be a joint effort between the residents and the business owner. The berm from JA Frate is very tall and he would like the height matched but put evergreens on it so the view is blocked all year around.

Mr. Batastini said that the landscaped islands in a parking lot add to the aesthetics of the property. If there are 10 spaces, an island is required. He added that just recently there was a car dealership that went to great lengths for the landscaping.

Mr. Esposito said he lived in an area that was adjacent to a large berm. It was a great dirt bike path and there were many parties over the summer.

Mrs. Lembke said she supports the request.

Mr. Gavle said the berm is to protection the residents and the use. He said the cars will be stored a football field away from the property line. Mr. Gavle thanked the neighbors for coming to the meeting and voicing their opinion. He is challenged with developers having to put in something that is of no use like the berm. Ms. Neuner said currently they see an open lot but with this approval there will be cars parked there.

Mr. Pennington said they currently have an indoor showroom now and with this location they can have cars displayed outside as well. He is concerned that the berm will be a very large expense and the owner won't do it. There won't be much noise and they will close about 8 p.m. On the other hand, JA Frate is 24 hours a day with big trucks coming in and out. This berm won't help the noise from that company. Ms. Neuner said the owner of the property was told he needed a berm and started dumping broken concrete and dirt in the rear of the property but didn't get very far. She would like a berm so long as it doesn't cause flooding to her

property.

Mr. Greenman thanked the petitioner for remaining in Crystal Lake. He suggested that discussions be held between the neighbors, the petitioner, and staff to determine what would be a good and useful berm. Mr. Greenman said he understands the variation for the use and the Findings of Fact for that variation have been met but he has not heard a hardship for the variation to allow the crushed asphalt. Mr. Pennington said the technology has gotten much better. He is concerned that if he paved that area that with having cars parked on it the lot would need to be replaced in a year because of settling. Mr. Greenman said it doesn't matter if the variation is for a small area or large, it's still a variation. He added that every business in town started with vacant farmland.

Mr. Skluzacek asked about the crushed asphalt. Mr. Pennington said they didn't want to spend the money on regular pavement, so they want to put in the crushed asphalt and let it settle. Mr. Skluzacek said the petitioner would have the expense of digging out the crushed asphalt prior to putting in the new parking surface. If the lot is done right with a good base, it wouldn't need to be replaced soon.

Mr. Goss said the City well is very close to this property and he doesn't want to endanger it with the permeable surface. He asked if the property is on well and septic. Mr. Pennington said yes. Mr. Goss asked if there will be vehicles for sale inside the building. Mr. Pennington said the building will be divided – ½ for the showroom and ½ for the vehicle repair/car wash. Mr. Goss said the vehicles that will be for sale are not to be parked along the driveway. That is for emergency vehicles.

Mr. Goss asked if the repair portion of the business would be just for cars getting ready to be sold. Mr. Pennington said they will be doing minor car servicing such as brakes, exhaust, oil change, radiator flushes, etc. He looked it up in the UDO and he will not be doing major auto repair only minor.

Mr. Goss said something needs to be done for the neighbors whether it is a berm, fence or a combination. He suggested that the petitioner prepare something before the City Council meeting.

Mr. Jouron said what worries him is not having the landscape islands now and the parking area expand without them being added.

Mr. Hayden asked about the crushed asphalt. Ms. Maxwell said the material is similar to the roadway millings. It is a fairly solid surface and the Engineering Division did not have any major concerns about it. Mr. Paulson said water will go through it.

Mr. Hayden said the petitioner is requesting retail in the manufacturing district, as well as auto repair and detailing which is allowed and a variation for the parking of the lot. He said originally the crushed asphalt wasn't a concern but he does want to protect the well head area. Mr. Pennington said he is currently at a property that has about an acre of crushed asphalt and no berm or fence. Mr. Hayden said if the well and septic on this property fails, they would be required to hook up to City sewer and water. Ms. Maxwell said

they would if it fails but not as it stands currently.

Mr. Paulson asked exactly what type of minor car repair would be done at this location. Mr. Pennington said minor car repairs not major like engine repair, car body work, etc. Mr. Skluzacek said if the petitioner would need to hook up to City services. Mr. Paulson said the County regulates wells and septics and it would be up to them to determine if hookup were required. Mr. Pennington said he is putting in a triple tank system. Mr. Hayden said there would be many car fluids that would be involved such as gas, oil, antifreeze. He asked what would be the trigger for hooking up. Mr. Batastini said it was an auto repair business before. Mr. Goss said that was pre-UDO. Mr. Paulson said he understood originally that there would be no minor car repairs to other vehicles but only to the vehicles getting ready for sale. Mr. Skluzacek said there will be a triple basin put in. Mr. Paulson said they need to check with the County on their requirements.

Mr. Pennington said he did a pre-inspection of the location before applying for the variations. Mr. Hayden said he is not saying that the petitioner is not being upfront. Mr. Pennington said he has been working with Staff and the County to be sure what was needed. Mr. Paulson said he needs to talk with the County to see what fluids they would allow in the holding tank. Mr. Pennington said the tanks are pumped out. Mr. Goss said that because the area is a well head protection area, that changes the requirement of what can and can't be done.

Mr. Batastini moved to approve the Use Variation from Article 2 Land Uses to allow an automobile dealer in the M Manufacturing zoning district, and a Variation from Article 4-200 C 3 Off-Street Parking and Loading and City Code Section 570 Parking Lot, Driveway and Sidewalk Construction to allow a crushed asphalt/gravel parking and storage area for vehicles for Signature Auto Group at 970 Pyott Road with the following conditions:

- 1. Approved plans, reflecting staff and advisory board recommendations, as approved by the City Council:
  - A. Application (Signature Auto Group, Inc., received 04/08/13)
  - B. Plat of Survey (RLS Land Surveyors, dated 05/27/05, received 04/08/13)
  - C. Site Plan (Signature Auto, received 04/08/13)
- 2. Ordinance No. 4016, for the former Crystal Auto Body, is no longer valid and replaced with this ordinance.
- 3. The property is located within a well-head protection area. Any vehicles stored outdoors that are awaiting repair or leaking fluids must utilize fluid capture mats.
- 4. The site shall maintain the minimum required foundation base landscape of 5 feet around the building where landscape materials exist now. The customer parking addition adjacent to the building should not remove all of the landscape area.

- 5. Customer parking areas shall have end landscape islands and a landscape island every 10 spaces.
- 6. A berm and landscape area, similar to that on JA Frate's property, shall be completed by September of 2014. In place of a berm, evergreens shall be placed next to the property line and staggered from 6 to 8 feet apart.
- 7. No vehicles shall be parked on any grass areas.
- 8. The crushed asphalt/gravel area shall be improved with a surface acceptable to the City Engineer within 2 years. All required compaction rates, engineering, landscape and permit requirements in effect at the time shall be met.
- 9. All wall and free-standing signage shall meet the UDO requirements.
- 10. The petitioner shall address all of the review comments and requirements of the Engineering and Building and Planning and Economic Development Departments.
- 11. This approval is for minor auto repairs only.

# 12. Obtain/Secure plumbing modification approval from McHenry County code to mitigate the auto fluid concerns.

Mr. Jouron seconded the motion. On roll call, members Batastini, Esposito, Gavle, Jouron, Lembke, and Hayden voted aye. Members Goss, Greenman, and Skluzacek voted no. Motion passed.

Mr. Goss said his no vote was because of the well head protection area. Mr. Greenman said he voted no because there was no hardship given for allowing the gravel/crushed asphalt parking area and the variations were not separated out in the motion. Mr. Skluzacek said his no vote was because of the expense of using crushed asphalt for 2 years and then still having to put in the full asphalt parking lot.

was already nearly impossible. Councilman Thorsen stated that he would be entirely in favor of this petition except for the position he outlined earlier about outside boats using the lake.

Mayor Shepley stated that the bottom line was that the Council's first obligation was to keep the area available for residents. He noted that this petition was different from the previous one because that was a camp with no spectators and would not impair anyone else's use of the facility, but this event could be overwhelming, and recalled last year's 105 degree days and how if that occurred again, the residents would not be happy with not being able to access the facility. He stated that even if the event did not tie up the beach area or prevent residents from using the boats, the event organizers would have a very difficult time keeping spectators and participants from using the parking lot. He stated that he would not rule out hosting this event in the future, and that, ultimately, it may be exactly what the City would like to have, but at this time, it would be too much, too soon.

Councilwoman Brady Mueller asked Mr. Chin to stay in touch with Mr. Helm, stating that she felt this would be an excellent opportunity for Crystal Lake and hoped that it could be accommodated in the future.

In response to Mayor Shepley's question, Mr. Chin requested that a formal motion be made on the matter so that he would have a definitive answer to take back to his board. Councilman Dawson moved to deny the petition. Councilman Hopkins seconded the motion. On roll call, all present voted yes, except Councilwoman Brady Mueller voted no. The motion to deny the petition passed.

Councilman Dawson stated that Three Oaks Recreation Area will evolve with requests like this. Councilman Thorsen reiterated his position about residents not being able to use their boats on the water, and Mayor Shepley stated that he completely disagreed with that position because he felt it was entirely different. He added that since zebra mussels were already in the lake, the Council should possibly revisit that policy, however.

# → 16. Signature Auto Group, 970 Pyott Road — Use Variation to allow an automobile dealer in the M Manufacturing zoning district with a Variation to allow a crushed asphalt/grayel parking and storage area for vehicles.

Jennifer Wagner and Brent Pennington of the Signature Auto Group were present for the matter. Ms. Wagner stated that they could not grow their business any more at their current location and wished to relocate to the proposed property on Pyott Road.

Mayor Shepley asked if anyone in the audience wished to speak on the matter. No one wished to speak.

Councilman Thorsen asked about the storage of vehicles on pervious surfaces. Director of Planning and Economic Development Michelle Rentzsch stated that they would be required to use fluid capture mats underneath the vehicles. Councilman Thorsen asked about a possible berm which had been discussed at the Planning and Zoning Commission meeting, and Ms. Rentzsch stated that the berm was not necessary as long as they installed staggered landscaping.

She stated that although some residents had initially been in favor of a berm, others were concerned about possible flooding and were happy with the proposed landscaping plan.

Councilman Dawson asked about the Planning and Zoning Commission's recommendation to install a triple catch basin in a septic field to mitigate concerns about leaking auto fluids. Building Commissioner Rick Paulson stated that the petitioner would need to install a holding tank to drain the fluids to, and that McHenry County has already reviewed their application and will issue a permit.

Councilwoman Brady Mueller moved to approve the petitioner's request and the recommendations of the Planning and Zoning Commission and adopt an ordinance granting the Use Variation and Variation to allow an automobile dealer in the "M" Manufacturing zoning district with the temporary use of a crushed asphalt/gravel parking area at 970 Pyott Road. Councilman Thorsen seconded the motion. On roll call, all present voted yes. Motion passed.

Mr. Pennington asked about a time frame for installing the trees, and Mayor Shepley advised him to work with the City Staff.

# 17. 2013 Bikeway Corridor Improvement Final Design Presentation - Discussion Only.

The consensus of the City Council was that they had no concerns with City staff's recommendation, which incorporated the Council's direction at the previous City Council meeting, as presented in the Agenda Supplement. No formal action was taken at this time.

**29.** Resolution authorizing execution of an agreement for Mosquito Abatement Services. Since Mr. Balis of Clarke Environmental was in the audience, the consensus of the Council was to suspend the order of the agenda and consider this item at this time.

Councilwoman Brady Mueller moved to adopt a Resolution authorizing the City Manager to execute a three-year agreement with Clarke Environmental Mosquito Management, Inc. for mosquito abatement services. Councilman Thorsen seconded the motion. On roll call, all present voted yes. Motion passed.

#### 18. Walkup Road Concrete Wall - Discussion Only.

Director of Engineering and Building Erik Morimoto advised that based on the City Council's previous consensus, staff had sent a letter to the County outlining the recommendation to apply an engineered stone veneer on the concrete wall. Mr. Morimoto asked if the Council would like anything further communicated to the County at this time regarding the concrete wall, and the Council had no comments.

#### 19. Centennial Logo Selection

Deputy City Manager George Koczwara advised that 26 designs had been submitted in response to the contest, which had been advertised in the City's newsletter, on the City's website and through a press release. The Centennial Committee selected six finalists. He stated that each finalist would receive a \$100 "I Shop Crystal Lake" gift certificate, and the winner would be recognized as a creative partner in the new logo reveal publication, which would occur in the



# AN ORDINANCE GRANTING VARIATIONS AT 970 PYOTT ROAD

WHEREAS, pursuant to the terms of a Petition (File #2013-19) before the Crystal Lake Planning and Zoning Commission, the Petitioner has requested the granting of a Use Variation from Article 2 Land Uses to allow an automobile dealer in the M Manufacturing zoning district, and a Variation from Article 4-200 © 3 Off-Street Parking and Loading and City Code Section 570 Parking Lot, Driveway and Sidewalk Construction to allow a crushed asphalt/gravel parking and storage area for vehicles for Signature Auto Group at 970 Pyott Road; and

WHEREAS, it is in the best interests of the CITY OF CRYSTAL LAKE that a Use Variation from Article 2 Land Uses to allow an automobile dealer in the M Manufacturing zoning district, and a Variation from Article 4-200 C 3 Off-Street Parking and Loading and City Code Section 570 Parking Lot, Driveway and Sidewalk Construction to allow a crushed asphalt/gravel parking and storage area for vehicles for Signature Auto Group at 970 Pyott Road be granted as requested in said Petition.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF CRYSTAL LAKE, McHENRY COUNTY, ILLINOIS, as follows:

<u>Section I:</u> That the Variations be granted from Article 2 Land Uses to allow an automobile dealer in the M Manufacturing zoning district, and from Article 4-200 C 3 Off-Street Parking and Loading and City Code Section 570 Parking Lot, Driveway and Sidewalk Construction to allow a crushed asphalt/gravel parking and storage area for vehicles

at the property commonly known as 970 Pyott Road, City of Crystal Lake,.

Section II: That the Variations be granted with the following conditions:

- 1. Approved plans, reflecting staff and advisory board recommendations, as approved by the City Council:
  - A. Application (Signature Auto Group, Inc., received 04/08/13)
  - B. Plat of Survey (RLS Land Surveyors, dated 05/27/05, received 04/08/13)
  - C. Site Plan (Signature Auto, received 04/08/13)
- 2. Ordinance No. 4016, for the former Crystal Auto Body, is no longer valid and replaced with this ordinance.
- 3. The property is located within a well-head protection area. Any vehicles stored outdoors that are awaiting repair or leaking fluids must utilize fluid capture mats.

- 4. The site shall maintain the minimum required foundation base landscape of 5 feet around the building where landscape materials exist now. The customer parking addition adjacent to the building should not remove all of the landscape area.
- 5. Customer parking areas shall have end landscape islands and a landscape island every 10 spaces.
- 6. In place of a berm, evergreens shall be placed next to the property line and staggered. They shall be 6 to 8 feet in height.
- 7. No vehicles shall be parked on any grass areas.
- 8. The crushed asphalt/gravel area shall be improved with a surface acceptable to the City Engineer within 2 years. All required compaction rates, engineering, landscape and permit requirements in effect at the time shall be met.
- 9. All wall and free-standing signage shall meet the UDO requirements.
- 10. The petitioner shall address all of the review comments and requirements of the Engineering and Building and Planning and Economic Development Departments.
- 11. This approval is for minor auto repairs only.
- 12. Obtain/Secure plumbing modification approval from McHenry County code to mitigate the auto fluid concerns.

<u>Section III:</u> That the City Clerk be and is hereby directed to amend the official zoning map of the City of Crystal Lake and all pertinent records of the City of Crystal Lake to show the granting of a Variation in accordance with the provisions of this Ordinance, as provided by law.

<u>Section IV:</u> That this Ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage and approval as provided by law.

DATED at Crystal Lake, Illinois, this 7th day of May, 2013.

MAYOR

CKEY CLERK

Published in pamphlet form by the authority of the Mayor and City Council of the City of Crystal Lake.



# CRYSTAL LAKE PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION WEDNESDAY, JULY 17, 2013 HELD AT THE CRYSTAL LAKE CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS

The meeting was called to order by Chairman Hayden at 7:30 p.m. On roll call, members Esposito, Gavle, Goss, Greenman, Jouron, Lembke, Skluzacek, and Hayden were present. Mr. Batastini was absent.

Michelle Rentzsch, Director of Planning and Economic Development, Latika Bhide and Elizabeth Maxwell, both Planners, and Rick Paulson, Building Commissioner, were present from Staff.

Mr. Hayden asked those in attendance to rise to say the Pledge of Allegiance. He led those in attendance in the Pledge.

Mr. Hayden stated that this meeting was being televised now as well as recorded for future playback on the City's cable station.

# 2013-34 SIGNATURE AUTO – 970 Pyott Road – PUBLIC HEARING

Special Use Permit and Use Variation Amendment, Special Use Permit for temporary uses and variations from parking lot standards to allow additional vehicle types, more display area, an auto shop open to the public and variations to the curbing requirements.

Mr. Hayden stated that the sign had been posted. He said the surrounding property owners were notified and the Certificate of Publication was in the file. Mr. Hayden waived the reading of the legal notice without objection.

Brent Pennington was present to represent his petition. Mr. Pennington said he has returned to ask for clarification of his previous approval. He stated that his State license allows him to sell fire trucks, box trucks, cherry pickers, ambulances, buses, RVs, motor cycles, ATVs, etc. and gave the members copies of his license. He doesn't want to keep coming back for further clarification. Mr. Pennington said he has added to this request temporary signs until his permanent signs are installed, special events such as car shows and fund raisers to be held in the rear of the property, to extend the crushed asphalt area from 20 feet to 50 feet, to allow for two concrete pads in front to display vehicles, and modify the customer parking area.

Mr. Pennington said he intends to asphalt the entire front of the building after the water and sewer connection is made.

Mr. Hayden said it was his understanding at the previous meeting that the used cars that would be displayed on this site would be high end quality cars. He asked where this misconception came in. Mr. Pennington stated he had specialty vehicles.

Mr. Hayden again asked where he went wrong with the description of the cars that were to be displayed. There was no mention of fire trucks, ambulances, box trucks, etc. Mr. Hayden also noted that at the previous meeting that there were several neighbors who voice their opinions and no one is at this meeting. Mr. Paulson said he had received several complaints from neighbors. Ms. Maxwell said in the UDO there are two classifications for car sales. One is for "Automobile Dealer" which is cars, motorcycles, etc. and the other is "other motor vehicles dealer" which is for larger vehicles such as trucks, buses, etc. Mr. Greenman said he would have no idea that "other motor vehicles" would include buses, trucks, etc.

Mr. Hayden said if he had known what would have been displayed on this property he would not have voted for it originally. He said this property is adjacent to residential and it is not zoned for motor sales of any type. Mr. Pennington believes the complaints were from the business next door who wanted to purchase the property and didn't.

Mr. Greenman asked why the petitioner was increasing his request. Mr. Pennington said he is being forced to be at this meeting so he decided he would ask for more.

Mr. Greenman said their approval was based on the information that was shared at the last meeting. Mr. Pennington said he presented everything he could. He added that he needs to be able to sell the larger trucks.

There was no one in the public who wished to comment on this petition. The public portion was closed at this time.

Mr. Jouron said there would be people here if they knew what "other motor sales" meant. He doesn't want the bucket trucks in the air. He also doesn't want to give blanket approval for special events to be held at this location. He is sure the neighbors wouldn't like it. Mr. Pennington said the neighbors would have to sign off on the special events. Mr. Jouron stated that he is not sure what they could have approved to block these types of trucks from the neighbors view - possibly a 20 foot tall mountain.

Mr. Greenman said he didn't support the previous request and is not in favor of the additional crushed asphalt. Mr. Pennington said one option is to leave everything the way it is now and add crushed asphalt. Option 2 is to expand the display area and move the customer parking area. They also want to use landscape bricks instead of curbs in the parking lot.

Mr. Greenman said he can support the landscape bricks but only for that portion of the lot and nothing else. He is not comfortable with allowing special events without knowing more about them. He is certain that there would be neighbors here is they understood what was being requested. Mr. Greenman asked if the petitioner had reached out to the neighbors and told them exactly what he was requesting. Mr. Pennington said the neighbors said they are happy with how it is now.

Mr. Pennington said he has options for other properties but needs something from the City to get him out of this lease.

Mr. Gavle wondered why the neighbors weren't present at this meeting. There is no green area on this property and that is a concern to him. Mr. Gavle said the vehicles currently on the property are significantly more substantial than what was discussed at the last meeting.

Mr. Esposito agreed. He would not have been in favor of this type of use in that location had he known. His vote was based on what he saw and was told at the last meeting.

Mr. Skluzacek said he voted no previously because he wanted the portion of the lot to be paved. As for the special events – where will the people who come park? The lot is taken up by very large trucks and there are already parking issues. Ms. Maxwell said the parking requirement has increased between the original request and the display/paved/employees for this current request. There are more employees working in the repair shop. Mr. Pennington said currently he is in compliance with the parking as it stands now. As the additional areas are added the parking will then be increased. He doesn't want to do this all at one time.

Mr. Skluzacek said he recalls that when they talked about the auto repair it was going to be for the cars that would be for sale and not any outside work. Mr. Pennington said it is staying the same.

Mr. Goss said a month after approval there are not 30 box trucks on the site. There was no mention of that at the previous meeting and he watched the DVD of the meeting. He said that before a petition is heard the people representing the request are sworn in to tell the truth the whole truth and nothing but the truth and that didn't happen. He is not in favor of anything without it being very well defined. At the previous meeting it was stated that the car repair would be for the auto sale customers. Mr. Pennington said everyone is his customer.

Mr. Goss said this is not what they anticipated would be on this site. He objects to the crushed asphalt due to the location of this property within the well head protection area. This request is to expand the business half again as much. Mr. Pennington said they are scaling up. Mr. Goss said that was not disclosed. Mr. Pennington said everything is on his website and it should have been checked out.

Mr. Hayden said he knows James Richter and he does an excellent job helping businesses. Mr. Pennington thought that Mr. Richter would give the Commissioners information.

Mr. Jouron said he didn't know how the petitioner got from luxury cars to this in such a short span of time. Mr. Pennington said he does high end vehicles but also trucks. Mr. Jouron said nothing like this was mentioned at the previous meeting.

Mr. Hayden accepted the responsibility. They need to spend more time and send a complete package to Council. He said they want this business in the City and there is a service that is provided. When they looked at the original request, the neighbors were concerned about noise, etc. This is not an ideal location for these types of vehicles. This use would have been better suited in a different location. If the trucks will be worked on, then he has even more concern for the well head protection. Mr. Pennington said there are 50 trucks parked at the property next to his. All of the water from the recent storm came across this property.

Mr. Hayden understands but he is not sure what would be the impact on the City's well head. Mr. Paulson said the Public Works Director would be concerned about the well head.

Mr. Pennington said he currently doesn't sell RVs but with the State license he has, it is possible. Mr. Hayden stated again that he is not certain that with this intense of a use that this is the right location for this business – next to residential. Ms. Maxwell said this is a very complicated request and staff was also under the same impression as the Commission was from the first meeting. Mr. Paulson said he is also concerned with the hydraulics from the trucks in the well head area.

Mr. Goss asked what the petitioner can currently sell. Ms. Maxwell said only passenger vehicles, ATVs, and motorcycles. She added that minor auto repair is also allowed. It was going to be for the vehicles that were going to be sold there or had been sold there but not for the general public.

Mr. Jouron said there is another car dealership in town that is selling box trucks. Ms. Rentzsch said under the old zoning ordinance it was allowed. Since the dealership was approved under the previous ordinance and the use has been continued, it is grandfathered in. Any new dealership would need to request to be an "other motor vehicle dealer" to be able to sell box trucks, etc.

Mr. Greenman said the definitions of some of the uses have changed since the last meeting. Mr. Pennington asked the Commissioners if they knew what was previously on this property. It was an auto body business with cars dripping fluids, etc. This use is less evasive to the neighbors. Mr. Pennington said he is not getting funding from the City or anyone else.

Mrs. Lembke asked if they were allowed to sell a certain number of vehicles. Mr. Paulson said that would be an enforcement nightmare.

Mr. Hayden asked the petitioner to prioritize the requests. Mr. Pennington said if they do not get approval for the "other motor vehicle dealer" that would shut them down. He also wants to use the crushed asphalt. Mr. Pennington said the owner will be planting the trees in the back. Mr. Goss asked how many trees will be planted. Mr. Pennington said it will be done by November of this year.

Mr. Jouron asked if they want the business here, what do we want the petitioner to do to make this better for everyone. Mr. Hayden said it needs to move to another location so the neighbors won't be residential. Mr. Pennington said he looked at other locations including former dealerships on Route 14 and nothing panned out. He has invested a lot here. He said he looked at property in West Dundee on Route 25.

Mr. Gavle said the berm has not been put in and it could be increased. The question is how big would it need to be. Mr. Pennington said he thinks they should ask the neighbors what they would like. Mr. Gavle said a member of staff would need to be at the meeting. Mr. Goss said they need to protect the neighbors. Also with the number of vehicles on this site, there should be lighting there. That would be even more reason to screen the neighbors.

Mr. Esposito moved to deny the Special Use Permit and Use Variation Amendment for an "Automobile Dealer" and "Other Motor Vehicle Dealer" in the M Manufacturing zoning district; Special Use Permit for certain temporary uses which may include grand opening, cruise nights and charitable events; and Variations from: A. Article 4-200 C 3 Off-Street Parking and Loading and City Code Section 570 Parking Lot, Driveway and Sidewalk Construction to allow a crushed asphalt/gravel parking and storage area for vehicles that is 50 feet wide and parking on the grass for special events and B. Variation from Article 4-200 Off-Street Parking and Loading from the requirement to provide curbing around parking and landscape areas for Signature Auto Group at 970 Pyott Road. Mr. Greenman seconded the motion. On roll call, all members voted aye. Motion to deny passed.


The members of the Commission reviewed their reasoning for denying this request. Mr. Goss said the screening of the neighbors needed to be increased and lighting would be needed for the number of vehicles on the site; not comfortable with giving a blanket approval for special events; parking lot needs to be paved because of the location of the well head; with the increase in the number and size of vehicles there is an increase of hazardous fluids; landscaping – need to be very specific on what is wanted; and define exactly what is meant by being open to the public for car repairs.

Mrs. Lembke agreed with Mr. Goss's comments. Mr. Skluzacek agreed and added that asphalt paving needs to be done. Mr. Esposito agreed. Mr. Gavle agreed with the comments previously made except that he is ok with the crushed asphalt area. Mr. Greenman agreed as well with Mr. Goss's comments and added that the variation for what they want to do regarding the parking but not anywhere else on the property. Mr. Jouron agreed.

Mr. Hayden said this use increased significantly which presents an added burden to the neighbors. He would prefer to limit the number of vehicles to minimize the impact of this use. Screening should be added to both the neighbors as well as to Pyott Road; special events need to be articulated by event through staff and not given a blanket approval.

Mr. Goss added that the display area in the front of the building needs to be discussed when the petitioner comes in for water and sewer connection. He said there are a limited number of events in a calendar year which goes through staff.

# EXHIBIT 2



Attached is a copy of the landscaping plans for 970 Pyott Rd Crystal lake IL 60014 I approve the landscape plans proposed for the property at 970 Pyott Rd Crystal lake IL 60014

Address:

29 0 H Pd Coystal Lake 60614.

Contact: Brent 847-208-3900 Fax: 866-628-1939

Attached is a copy of the landscaping plans for 970 Pyott Rd Crystal lake IL 60014

I approve the landscape plans proposed for the property at 970 Pyott Rd Crystal lake IL 60014

| بمد<br>مع NAME:            | Soc Chally Date: 9.9.13               |  |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| x                          |                                       |  |
| Address:                   |                                       |  |
| <b>- - - - - - - - - -</b> | 930 Sprinter Sec 100                  |  |
|                            | MAD DASH / Joe Omalley Field Services |  |
|                            | 930 pyott Rd suite 100                |  |

Entact: Brent 847-208-3900 Fax: 866-628-1939 Attached is a copy of the landscaping plans for 970 Pyott Rd Crystal lake IL 60014. I approve the landscape plans proposed for the property at 970 Pyott Rd Crystal lake IL 60014

NAME Owen Buchause Date: 9-9-13

Top Notch Auto Repair 930 protted unit 105 C.L. 160019

Contact: Brent 847-208-3900

Fax: 866-628-1939

# LANDSCAPEPLAN





# LANDSCAPE PLAN KEY:

PROPERTY LINE:

PROPOSED
EVERGREEN VEGETATION:

10'x 20 ft PARKING PAD:





NOT TO BE USED AS A WORKING PLAN UNLESS UNDER THE SUPERVISION OF CHOICE CITY GARDENERS. THIS PLAN AND ALL THE CONTENTS THERIN ARE SOLE PROPERTY OF CHOICE CITY GARDENERS AND NO REPRODUCTION OR USE IN WHOLE OR IN PART SHALL BE ALLOWED WITHOUT WRITTEN CONSENT FROM CHOICE CITY GARDENERS.

# 

FOR THE SIGNATURE AUTO GROUP

Designer: W. H. KOCKLER

Drawing Date:

Revision Date:

Notes:

08/30/2013

08/08/13


08/27/2013

Drawing Name:

Landscape Plan

change the planting arrangement

Pictures Taken at Signature Auto on 6/25/13

















RECEIVED
JUL 09 2013

Fax: 815-459-8872 AttNi Penny 847-208-3900

970 Pyott Rd Crystal lake 16 60014



| OSm | Signature |
|-----|-----------|
|     |           |

| REC | F  |  | ĪL. |
|-----|----|--|-----|
| JUL | 09 | 2013   |     |
| BY: |    | Norman and a contract of the c |     |