

# #2013-29 Doherty Properties Project Review for Planning and Zoning Commission

Meeting Date: December 4, 2013

**Zoning Request** 1) Rezoning upon annexation to B-2 Commercial district

2) Variations from the lot, yard, setback and tree survey requirements to accommodate the existing lots and future

redevelopment.

**Location:** 4420, 4501, 5201 and 5425 Route 176

Acreage: approximately 2.5 acres

**Existing Zoning:** B-1 (McHenry County)

**Requested Zoning:** B-2 General Commercial

**Surrounding Properties:** North: B-2 Prairie Grove

South: B-1 County East: R-1 County

West: B-2 PUD Crystal Lake

**Staff Contact:** Michelle Rentzsch (815.356.3615)

# **Background:**

- Existing Use: The four parcels are improved and unimproved; comprised of Discount Flooring Warehouse, commercial buildings and a vacant parcel.
- <u>History</u>: City staff contacted the property owner and encouraged annexation of these parcels. The annexation of these parcels helps with the redevelopment of the Route 176/31 intersection within Crystal Lake, and readies them for this activity most likely after the State's road project is completed.

# **Development Analysis:**

# General

- Request: To zone the property B-2 within the City of Crystal Lake as part of an Annexation with variations to accommodate the existing and future lot configuration and development.
- <u>Land Use</u>: The land use map shows the property as Commerce. No Comprehensive Land Use Plan Map Amendment is necessary.

• Zoning: The property is currently zoned B-1 in McHenry County. The equivalent zoning district in the City of Crystal Lake is B-2. The existing and proposed uses fit within the B-2 zoning district.

# 2030 Comprehensive Land Use Plan Summary Review:

The Comprehensive Plan designates the subject property as Commerce, which allows for existing and future commercial and business uses. The following goal is applicable to this request:

# Land Use – Commerce

Goal: Maintain a dynamic and sustainable base of commercial uses that provides a solid tax base, goods, services and jobs to the city as well as the surrounding region through coordination in the Unified Development Ordinance, Comprehensive Land Use Plan and Economic Development Strategic Plan.

This can be accomplished with the following supporting action:

**Supporting Action:** Promote, retain and attract businesses that provide a diverse tax base.

**Success Indicator:** The number of small business occupancies.

# **Findings of Fact:**

#### REZONING

- The property consists of four parcels that are currently zoned B-1 in McHenry County. Property annexed into the City of Crystal Lake comes in as E, Estate zoning.
- The properties would be rezoned to B-2 General Commercial. The parcels are improved and unimproved, with Discount Flooring Warehouse, commercial buildings and a vacant parcel.

	teria			

tCII	a for Rezonning	
(a)	The existing uses and	zoning of nearby property.
	☐ Meets	Does not meet
(b)	The extent to which p restriction.	roperty values are diminished by a particular zoning classification or
	☐ Meets	Does not meet
(c) The extent to which the destruction of property value of a petitioner property owner promotes the health, safety, morals or general welfare of the public.		
	☐ Meets	Does not meet
(d) The relative gain to the public as opposed to the hardship imposed on a petitioning owner.		
	☐ Meets	Does not meet
(e)	The suitability of the s	subject property for its zoned purposes.
	☐ Meets	Does not meet

development in the a	e property has been vacant as zoned, considered in the context of land rea.
☐ Meets	Does not meet
(g) The Comprehensive I	Plan designation and the current applicability of that designation.
☐ Meets	Does not meet
(h) The evidence or lack	of evidence, of community need for the use proposed.
☐ Meets	Does not meet

# **ZONING ORDINANCE VARIATIONS**

The petitioner is requesting general variations from the lot, yard, setback and tree survey requirements to accommodate the existing lots and future redevelopment.

#### Lot Area

Prior to the State's Route 176/31 Road Project, the parcels met the minimum 20,000 square foot lot area requirement. After the required roadway dedication, the parcels on the south side of Route 176 no longer meet this minimum, providing 18,500; 18,900; 18,000; and 17,700 square feet, respectively, for Lots 1-4. A variation is requested to accommodate the existing lots due to the roadway improvement project.

#### Rear Yard Setback

The UDO requires a 50-foot rear setback for parcels abutting residential districts. Lots 1-4 abut residential zoning in the County; however, the subject residential parcels are intended for detention. A variation from this requirement is requested to maximize the buildability of these lots, given the property taken for the roadway project and how the "residential" lots are actually detention areas.

# Parking Lot Setback

The UDO requires an 8-foot setback along the rear and interior side yard setbacks. A variation from this requirement is requested to maximize the buildability of these lots, given the property taken for the roadway project and the uncertainty of how the lots will be configured upon redevelopment.

# *Tree Preservation Requirements*

The UDO requires a tree survey and tree analysis before the removal of trees within a commercial development. Lot 4 has significant vegetation that consists of low quality trees in poor condition. A variation is requested to permit the removal of these trees with providing a tree survey and tree analysis.

# Standards

When evidence in a specific case shows conclusively that literal enforcement of any provision of this Ordinance would result in a practical difficulty or particular hardship because:

a.	surroundings or cor	operty owner is due to unique circumstances, such as, unusual aditions of the property involved, or by reason of exceptional wness or shape of a zoning lot, or because of unique topography, or ions.	
	Meets	Does not meet	
b.	Also, that the variat	ion, if granted, will not alter the essential character of the locality.	
	Meets	Does not meet	
consider	ation the extent to	lementing the above standards, the Commission may take into which the following facts favorable to the application have been presented at the public hearing:	
a.	a. That the conditions upon which the application for variation is based would no applicable generally to other property within the same zoning classification;		
	☐ Meets	Does not meet	
b.	That the alleged d having interest in the	ifficulty or hardship has not been created by any person presently ne property;	
	Meets	Does not meet	
c.	0	f the variation will not be detrimental to the public welfare or injurious improvements in the neighborhood in which the property is located;	
	☐ Meets	Does not meet	
d.	property, will not property, will not	variation will not impair an adequate supply of light or air to adjacent unreasonably diminish or impair the property values of adjacent unreasonably increase congestion in the public streets, substantially of fire or otherwise endanger public safety.  \[ \int Does not meet \]	
	meens	Does not meet	

Where the evidence is not found to justify such conditions, that fact shall be reported to the City Council with a recommendation that the variation be denied.

# **Recommended Action:**

A motion to recommend approval of the petitioner's request to rezone the subject property to "B-2" General Commercial district upon annexation, allowing the existing uses and improvements via the annexation agreement and recommending approval of the requested variations subject to the following conditions:

1. The following variations are hereby granted:

- A) Lot Area to allow 18,500; 18,900; 18,000; and 17,700 square feet, respectively, for Lots 1 4, due to the property taking for the roadway project;
- B) Rear Yard Setback to allow up to 0 feet for Lots 1-4, given that parking and stormwater management requirements are satisfied;
- C) Parking Lot Setback to allow up to 0 feet for Lots 1-4, given that parking and stormwater management requirements are satisfied; and
- D) Tree preservation requirements to allow the removal of the trees on Lots 1-4 without providing the required tree survey and tree analysis.
- 2. The petitioner shall address all of the review comments and requirements of the Engineering and Building, Fire Rescue, Police, Public Works and Planning and Economic Development Departments.

City of	Crystal Lake
Develo	pment Application

Office Use Only	
File #	

_X Annexation	Preliminary PUD
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	Preliminary Plat of Subdivision
Conceptual PUD Review	<u></u> ✓ Rezoning
Final PUD	Special Use Permit
Final PUD Amendment	* Variation
Final Plat of Subdivision	Other
Petitioner Information	Owner Information (if different)
Name: Patrick Doherty	Name:
Address: 4420 Rt. 176	Address:
Crystal Lake 12 60012	
Phone: 847-344-8622	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Fax:	Fax:
E-mail: patd@flooringdiscount varehouse.c	_ E-mail:
Property Information	C A A
Project Description: Angexation	, zoning to B-2, variation
For four parcels, auxp	+'as-is'
Project Address/Location: <u> </u>	1,5201, + SEDS Route

Development Team	Please include address, phone, fax and e-ma	<u> 111</u>
Developer:		
Architect:		
Attorney:		
Engineer;		
Landscape Architect:	t .	
•		
Planner:		
Surveyor:		
Other		
Other:		
Signatures		
Cignatal Co		
PETITIONER: Print and Sign name (if different from	owner) Date	
As owner of the property in question, I hereby authori	ze the seeking of the above requested acti	ion.
OWNER: Print and Sign name	Date	

NOTE: If the property is held in trust, the trust officer must sign this petition as owner. In addition, the trust officer must provide a letter that names all beneficiaries of the trust.



# **PUBLIC NOTICE**

BEFORE THE PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF CRYSTAL LAKE, MCHENRY COUNTY, ILLINOIS

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF DOHERTY DEVELOPMENT GROUP, LLC; DOHERTY DEVELOPMENT GROUP LLC, PATRICK J. DOHERTY JR. AND FAMILY REVOCABLE TRUST; and DR. BRADLEY AND CAROL LEWIS

#### **LEGAL NOTICE**

Notice is hereby given in campliance with the Unified Development Ordinance of the City of Crystal Lake, Illinois that a public hearing will be held before the Planning and Zoning Corrmission upon the application of the abovernentioned petitioners for rezoning and variations relating to the tollowing described real estate commonly knawn as PIN No and Common Address: 14-34-252-009 5201 Raute 176; PIN No and Common Address: 14-34-252-010 5425 Route 176; PIN No and Common Address: 14-34-252-030 4501 Route 176; PIN No and Common Address: 14-34-252-030 4501 Route 176; PIN No and Common Address: 14-34-252-030 4501 Route 176; PIN No and Common Address: 14-34-251-022 4420 Route 176, Ciystal Lake, Illinois 60012.

This application is filed for the purposes of seeking Rezoning from McHenry County B-1 Neighborhood Commercial to City B-2 General Commercial istrict and variations from the City's density, intensity, dimensional standards, and development and design standards in conjunction with Annexation and an Annexation Agreement, pursuant to Article 9-200 B; Article 3; and Article 4, respectively, af the Crystal Lake Unified Development Ordinance and any other variations necessary to accommodate the project as presented. Plans for this project can be viewed at the City of Crystal Lake Community Development Department at City Hall.

A public hearing before the Planning and Zoning Commission for this request will be held at 7:30 p.m. on Wednesday, June 19, 2013, at the Crystal Loke City Hall, 100 West Woodstock Street, at which time and place any person determining to be heard may be present

Tam Hayden, Chair

Planning and Zoning Commission City of Crystal Lake

(Published in the Northwest Herald May 21, 28, June 4, 2013. #A966)





